RECEIVED OPPT CPIC

RECEIVED OPPLACE

2005 JUN 30 AM 10: 33 2005 JUL 12 PM 1: 11

June 23, 2005

8649-0605-15946\$

REDACTED VERSION

Document Control Office (7407W)
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
ATTN: TSCA Section 8(e) Coordinator
Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics
1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20004-3302

Company Sanitized

Subject: 8EHQ-05-15946

Dear Sir or Madam:

This notice amends the American Chemistry Council Terephthalates Panel's¹ previous submission (8EHQ-05-15946), dated March 7, 2005. In the 03/07/05 notice, we provided data from a multigeneration reproduction toxicity study of terephthalic acid, CAS Registry number 100-21-0. The Panel is now forwarding the narrative portion of the study and is being submitted to EPA as confidential business information in accordance with EPA's requirements for protecting the same.

#### **Confidentiality Statement**

This letter contains confidential business information. These claims are pursuant to §14 of TSCA and to 40 CFR Part 2. No public disclosure may be made of information in this letter that has been claimed confidential absent prior notification and opportunity to respond by the American Chemistry Council pursuant to 40 CFR Part 2.

[Responses for Substantiation of CBI redacted]

If you have any questions please contact Dr. Has Shah of the American Chemistry Council at (703) 741-5637 or via e-mail at Has\_Shah@americanchemistry.com



# CENTRAL TOXICOLOGY LABORATORY ALDERLEY PARK MACCLESFIELD CHESHIRE UK

CTL/RR0915/REGULATORY/REPORT

TEREPHTHALIC ACID: MULTIGENERATION REPRODUCTION TOXICITY STUDY IN RATS

# CENTRAL TOXICOLOGY LABORATORY ALDERLEY PARK MACCLESFIELD CHESHIRE UK

### CTL/RR0915/REGULATORY/REPORT

TEREPHTHALIC ACID: MULTIGENERATION REPRODUCTION TOXICITY STUDY IN RATS

#### STUDY DETAILS

Sponsor:

BP Chemicals Limited.

Chertsey Road, Sunbury-on-Thames

Middlesex, TW16 7LN, UK.

Sponsor Reference:

CO0483

CTL Test Substance Reference Number:

Y00751/004

CTL Study Number:

RP.0915 (F0 generation)

RR0915 (F1

RR0915 (F1 generation) CTL/RR0915/REG/REPT

Document Number:

G M Milburn

**AUTHOR** 

#### DATE OF ISSUE

20 January 2003

## STATEMENT OF DATA CONFIDENTIALITY CLAIM

THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS INFORMATION CONFIDENTIAL AND TRADE SECRET TO BP CHEMICALS LIMITED

It should not be disclosed in any form to an outside party, nor should information contained herein be used by a registration authority to support registration of this product or any other product without the written permission of BP Chemicals Limited.

## STATEMENT OF GLP COMPLIANCE AND AUTHENTICATION

I, the undersigned, declare that the objectives laid down in the protocol were achieved and that the data generated are valid. The report fully and accurately reflects the procedures used and the raw data generated in the above study.

The study (RR0915) was conducted in compliance with the UK Principles of Good Laboratory Practice (The United Kingdom GLP Regulations 1999, Statutory Instrument No. 3106). These Principles are in accordance with the OECD Principles of Good Laboratory Practice, revised 1997 (ENV/MC/CHEM(98)17).

G M Milburn Study Director Sully Ullby 20 Janu Date

TEREPHTHALIC ACID: MULTIGENERATION REPRODUCTION TOXICITY STUDY IN RATS

This page
may be required
by some
regulatory authorities.

### **QUALITY ASSURANCE STATEMENT**

In accordance with CTL policy and QA procedures for Good Laboratory Practice, this report has been audited and the conduct of this study has been inspected as follows:

Date	Audit/Inspection	Date of QA Report
30 Apr 2001	Protocol	10 May 2001
23 May 2001	Dose preparation	23 May 2001
25 May 2001	Randomisation	29 May 2001
11 Aug 2001	Pairing	13 Aug 2001
05 Sep 2001	Pup sexing, bodyweights, clinical observations, landmark monitoring	06 Sep 2001
19 Sep 2001	Landmark monitoring	19 Sep 2001
03 Oct 2001	Selection of pups	03 Gct 2001
03 Oct 2001	Post mortem	03 Oct 2001
01 Nov 2001	Dose analysis	01 Nov 2001
21 Nov 2001	Parent bodyweights, clinical observations,	21 Nov 2001
	food weighing	
04 Dec 2001	Vaginal smears	04 Dec 2001
06 Feb 2002	Post mortem	06 Feb 2002
21 Feb 2002	Post mortem	21 Feb 2002
12 Mar 2002	Sperm analysis	12 Mar 2002
21 Mar 2002	Sperm analysis	21 Mar 2002
19 Apr 2002	Quantitative evaluation of primordial follicles	19 Apr 2002
27 Jun 2002	Draft report	22 Jul 2002
09 Oct 2002	Draft report	07 Nov 2002
14 Jan 2003	Final report review	16 Jan 2003

Facilities and process based procedures associated with this study were inspected in accordance with QA Standard Operating Procedures.

So far as can be reasonably established, the methods described and the results given in the final report accurately reflect the raw data produced during the study, RR0915.

IF Bayliss

Attouliss

20 January 2003

(CTL Quality Assurance Unit)

### STUDY CONTRIBUTORS

The following contributed to this report in the capacities indicated:

Name

Title

G M Milburn

Study Director, CTL

R W Lewis

Study Reviewer

Z Welsh

Statistician

E Riley

Formulation Analyst

S F Moreland

**Pathologist** 

## Page Number

1.	SUMMARY	15
1.1	Study design	14
1.2	Results	15
1.3	Conclusion	1 <i>.</i>
2.	INTRODUCTION	18
2.1	Purpose	18
2.2	Regulatory guidelines	
2.3	Justification for test system selection	18
2.4	Dose level selection	19
2.5	Study dates	19
2.6	Data storage	
3.	TEST SUBSTANCE	19
4.	EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES	20
4.1	Diet preparation	20
4.2	Analysis of diets	
4.3	Experimental design	21
4.3.1	Animals	21
4.3.2	Accommodation and husbandry	21
4.3.3	Acclimatisation	22
4.3.4	Animal randomisation and identification	22
4.3.5	Dose levels and treatment groups	23
4.3.6	Dose administration	23
4.3.7	Duration of dose administration	23
4.4	Parental investigations	24
4.4.1	Clinical observations	24
4.4.2	Bodyweights	
4.4.3	Food consumption	24
4.5	Vaginal smears	
4.6	Breeding programme	25
4.6.1	Mating	25
4.6.2	Gestation and lactation	25
4.6.3	Reproductive performance	25
4.7	Parent selection	26
4.8	Pup investigations	26

,	SANITIZED VER	1510N
4.8.1	Clinical condition and survival	26
4.8.2	Bodyweights	26
4.8.3	Developmental landmarks	27
4.9	Investigations post mortem - parents	27
4.9.1	Termination	27
4.9.2	Organ weights	27
4.9.3	Sperm motility	28
4.9.4	Sperm number	28
4.9.5	Sperm morphology	28
4.9.6	Homogenisation resistant spermatids	29
4.9.7	Macroscopic examination	29
4.9.8	Tissue submission	29
4.9.9	Microscopic examination	30
4.10	Investigations post mortem - offspring	30
4.10.1	Termination	30
4.10.2	Organ weights	31
4.10.3	Macroscopic examination	31
4.10.4	Tissue submission	31
5.	DATA EVALUATION	32
		<b></b>
6.	RESULTS	
0.	RESULTS	32
6.1	Diet analysis	32
6.1.1	Achieved concentration	32
6.1.2	Homogeneity	32
6.1.3	Stability	32
6.1.4	Dose received	33
6.2	Parental findings	33
6.2.1	Clinical observations and mortality	33
6.2.2	Bodyweights	34
6.2.3	Food consumption and food utilisation	35
6.2.4	Reproductive performance	36
6.3	Pup findings	37
6.3.1	Sex distribution	37
6.3.2	Ano-genital distance	37
6.3.3	Clinical observations	37
6.3.4	Bodyweights	37
6.3.5	Total litter weight	38
6.3.6	Developmental landmarks	38
6.4	Investigations post mortem - parents	38
6.4.1	Organ weights	38
6.4.2	Sperm motility	40
6.4.3	Sperm number	4∩
6.4.4	Sperm morphology	4n
6.4.5	Macroscopic findings	

6.4.6	Implantation data	
6.4.7	Microscopic findings	41
6.4.8	Primordial follicle count	41
6.5	Investigations nost mortem - pup	42
6.5.1	Investigations post mortem - pup	42
6.5.2	Pup up to 18 days of age  Organ weights  Macroscopic findings	42
6.5.3	Macroscopic findings	42
6.5.4	Macroscopic findings	42
	Microscopic findings	43
7.	DISCUSSION	43
<b>B.</b>	CONCLUSION	45
9.	REFERENCES	46

### **FIGURES**

FIGURE 1:	Dose received (mg/kg/day) during the pre-mating period - F0 parents
FIGURE 2:	Dose received (mg/kg/day) during the pre-mating period - F1 parents
FIGURE 3:	Group mean bodyweights during the pre-mating period - F0 parents
FIGURE 4:	Group mean bodyweights during the pre-mating period - F1 parents
FIGURE 5:	Statistical analysis of bodyweights during the pre-mating period - F0 parents
FIGURE 6:	Statistical analysis of bodyweights during the pre-mating period - F1 parents
FIGURE 7:	Group mean food consumption during the premating period - F057
FIGURE 5:	Group mean food consumption during the premating period - F1 59
FIGURE 9:	Group mean bodyweight during gestation -F0 parents
FIGURE 10:	Group mean bodyweight during gestation -F1 parents62
FIGURE 11:	Group mean bodyweight post partum -F0 parents63
FIGURE 12:	Group mean bodyweight post partum -F1 parents64
FIGURE 13:	Pre-coital interval - F0 parents
FIGURE 14:	Pre-coital interval - F1 parents
FIGURE 15: ·	Gestation length - F0 parents67
FIGURE 16:	Gestation length - F1 parents
FIGURE 17:	Litter size - day 1 - F1 litter
FIGURE 18:	Litter size - day 1 - F2 litter
FIGURE 19:	Group mean pup bodyweight - F1 litter
FIGURE 20:	Group mean pup bodyweight - F2 litter
	TABLES
TABLE 1:	Diet achieved concentration75
TABLE 2:	Homogeneity of terephthalic acid in diet
TABLE 3:	Chemical stability of terephthalic acid in diet

GLOSSARY FOR	TABLES 4-986
TABLE 4:	Summary of dose received during the pre-mating period - F0 parents
TABLE 5:	Summary of dose received during the pre-mating period - F1 parents
TABLE 6:	Summary of dose received during gestation - F0 parents
TABLE 7:	Summary of dose received during gestation - F1 parents90
TABLE 8:	Summary of dose received post partum - F0 parents91
TABLE 9:	Summary of dose received post partum - F1 parents
<b>GLOSSARY FOR</b>	TABLES 10 AND 1193
TABLE 10:	Intergroup comparison of clinical observations - F0 parents94
TABLE 11:	Intergroup comparison of clinical observations - F1 parents
	STATISTICAL TABLES
TABLE 12:	Intergroup comparison of bodyweights during the pre-mating period - F0 parents
TABLE 13:	Intergroup comparison of bodyweights during the pre-mating period - F1 parents
TABLE 14:	Intergroup comparison of bodyweights during gestation - F0 parents
TABLE 15:	Intergroup comparison of bodyweights during gestation - F1 parents
TABLE 16:	Intergroup comparison of bodyweights post partum - F0 parents 115
TABLE 17:	Intergroup comparison of bodyweights post partum - F1 parents 116
TABLE 18:	Intergroup comparison of food consumption during the premating period - F0 parents
TABLE 19:	Intergroup comparison of food consumption during the premating period - F1 parents
TABLE 20:	Intergroup comparison of food utilisation during the pre-mating period - F0 parents
TABLE 21:	Intergroup comparison of food utilisation during the pre-mating period - F1 parents
TABLE 22:	Intergroup comparison of food consumption during gestation - F0 parents
TABLE 23:	Intergroup comparison of food consumption during gestation - F1 parents
TABLE 24:	Intergroup comparison of food consumption post partum - F0 parents

TABLE 25:	Intergroup comparison of food consumption post partum - F1 parents
TABLE 26:	Intergroup comparison of pre-mating vaginal smears - F0 parents 127
<b>TABLE 27:</b>	Intergroup comparison of pre-mating vaginal smears - F1 parents 128
<b>TABLE 28:</b>	Intergroup comparison of pre-coital interval
TABLE 29:	Intergroup comparison of gestation length
TABLE 30:	Intergroup comparison of the proportion of successful matings 131
TABLE 31:	Intergroup comparison of whole litter losses
TABLE 32:	Intergroup comparison of pups live born
TABLE 33:	Intergroup comparison of litter size - F1 litter
TABLE 34:	Intergroup comparison of litter size - F2 litter
TABLE 35:	Intergroup comparison of pup survival - F1 litter
TABLE 36:	Intergroup comparison of pup survival - F2 litter
<b>TABLE 37:</b>	Intergroup comparison of pup sex distribution - F1 litter141
TABLE 38:	Intergroup comparison of pup sex distribution - F2 litter
TABLE 39:	Intergroup comparison of anogenital distance - F1 litter
TABLE 40:	Intergroup comparison of anogenital distance - F2 litter
TABLE 41:	Intergroup comparison of pup clinical observations - F1 litter 145
TABLE 42:	Intergroup comparison of pup clinical observations - F2 litter 146
TABLE 43:	Intergroup comparison of pup bodyweights - F1 litter147
TABLE 44:	Intergroup comparison of pup bodyweights - F2 litter 149
TABLE 45:	Intergroup comparison of total litter weight - F1 litter
TABLE 46:	Intergroup comparison of total litter weight - F2 litter
TABLE 47:	Intergroup comparison of developmental landmarks
TABLE 48:	Intergroup comparison of organ weights - F0 parents155
TABLE 49:	Intergroup comparison of organ weights - F1 parents
TABLE 50:	Intergroup comparison of sperm data - F0 parents204
TABLE 51:	Intergroup comparison of sperm data - F1 parents206
TABLE 52:	Intergroup comparison of sperm morphology - F0 parents
TABLE 53:	Intergroup comparison of sperm morphology - F1 parents
TABLE 54:	Intergroup comparison of macroscopic findings - F0 parents210
TABLE 55:	Intergroup comparison of macroscopic findings - F1 parents212
TABLE 56:	Intergroup comparison of implantation data - FO parents

TABLE 57:	_
	Intergroup comparison of implantation data - F1 parents 221
TABLE 58:	Intergroup comparison of microscopic findings - F0 parents 222
TABLE 59:	Intergroup comparison of microscopic findings - F1 parents 227
TABLE 60:	Intergroup comparison of oocytes (left ovary) - F1 parents231
TABLE 61:	Intergroup comparison of macroscopic findings - F1 pups - intercurrent deaths of pups up to day 18 of age
TABLE 62:	Intergroup comparison of macroscopic findings - F2 pups - intercurrent deaths of pups up to day 18 of age
TABLE 63:	Intergroup comparison of pup organ weights - F1 litter
TABLE 64:	Intergroup comparison of pup organ weights - F2 litter
TABLE 65:	Intergroup comparison of macroscopic findings - F1 pups245
TABLE 66:	Intergroup comparison of macroscopic findings - F2 pups
	APPENDICES
APPENDIX A:	Preparation of experimental diets
<b>APPENDIX B:</b>	The determination of Terephthalic Acid in CT1 diet
APPENDIX C:	Arrangement of animals and experimental groups on the racks 254
APPENDIX D:	Allocation of first generation (F0) parent animals to experimental groups
APPENDIX E:	Sequence of events
APPENDIX F:	Selection of second generation (F1) parents
APPENDIX G:	Statistical methods
APPENDIX H:	Historical control data

Pages 18 - 46

Redacted in Full

**TSCA Confidential Business Information** 

This page is provided for the Regulatory Authority Reviewer's notes.

## SANITIZED VERSION

#### 1. SUMMARY

## SANITIZED VERSION

### 1.1 Study design

Groups of 26 male and 26 female (F0 parents) weanling Alpk:AP<sub>f</sub>SD (Wistar-derived) rats were fed diet containing 0 (control), 1000, 5000 or 20000 ppm terephthalic acid. After 10 weeks, the animals were mated and allowed to rear the ensuing F1 litters to weaning. The breeding programme was repeated with the F1 parents selected from the F1 pups to produce the F2 litters after a 10-week pre-mating period. Test diets were fed continuously throughout the study.

The growth of the parental generation, reproductive function, mating behaviour, conception, gestation, parturition, lactation and weaning and the growth and development of the pup were determined.

#### 1.2 Results

Bodyweights were reduced in F0 males, F0 females receiving 20000 ppm terephthalic acid (during gestation and *post partum*) and in F1 males and females. Weights of animals receiving 1000 or 5000 ppm terephthalic acid were similar to controls throughout the study.

Food consumption of F1 males and females receiving 20000 ppm was generally lower than that of controls. Food utilisation was less efficient than control in the 20000 ppm group in both generations.

There were no effects on smear cycle and pattern, pre-coital interval, gestation length, proportion of successful matings, pups live born, litter size, pup survival, pup sex distribution or pup clinical observations in any treated group.

Bodyweights of F1 pups receiving 20000 ppm terephthalic acid were reduced from day 15 post partum. Bodyweights of F2 males and females in the 20000 ppm treatment group were lower than control at all timepoints which correlated with increased litter sizes compared to control. Total litter weight was not different across all groups. Bodyweights of F1 males receiving 5000 ppm were lower than controls on day 29 post partum. Bodyweights of F2pups receiving 5000 ppm were lower than control from day 15 post partum. There was no effect on pup weight in the 1000 ppm group.

There was a statistically significant decrease in the ano-genital distance of females only in the F1 and F2 litters in the 20000 ppm dose group. Ano-genital distances in the 1000 and 5000 ppm females and in all male groups were similar to those of controls. Vaginal opening was slightly delayed, by 1.6 days, in F1 females in the 20000 ppm group. Preputial separation was delayed in F1 males in the 5000 and 20000 ppm groups (by 0.8 days and 1.6 days respectively). These differences were related to the reduced bodyweight of these animals.

Kidney weights (both absolute and adjusted for bodyweight) were decreased in males from all treated male groups in both generations. Effects in females were less consistent and generally only absolute kidney weight were reduced. Relative liver weights were increased in both sexes and both generations in the 20000 ppm group only.

There were no effects on sperm number, sperm motility or sperm morphology.

The number of decedent F0 and F1 animals was very low and the incidence was unrelated to dose level. There was no effect of treatment on the number of mated F0 or F1 animals failing to produce litters and no changes were detected in the reproductive organs which could be attributed to treatment.

A variety of changes were observed in the urinary bladder of animals of both sexes receiving 20000 ppm terephthalic acid. The incidence in the F! animals was greater than in the F0. It is considered that these changes are related to treatment and indicate an irritant effect of the compound on the bladder mucosa. Bladders were not examined from animals receiving 1000 or 5000 ppm. Minimal or slight renal papillary necrosis was observed in the grossly abnormal kidneys of a few males (2 F0 and 2 F1) receiving 20000 ppm terephthalic acid. This is an uncommon spontaneous finding and it is considered that this lesion is likely to be related to treatment. Only macroscopically abnormal kidneys were examined.

#### 1.3 Conclusion

Dietary administration of 20000 ppm terephthalic acid for two successive generations did not result in any effects on reproductive performance. No gross or microscopic changes were seen in the reproductive system that could be related to terephthalic acid administration.

Irritant changes were observed in the bladder of males and females receiving 20000 ppm terephthalic acid and there was some evidence for an effect on the kidney at this dose level. These tissues were not examined for the 1000 or 5000 ppm groups.

Reductions in pup bodyweight generally occurred from day 15 post partum, when the offspring had started consuming solid diet, and are considered to be a direct effect of the test material on the pups rather than an expression of developmental toxicity. Pup bodyweights in the F2 generation of the 20000 ppm treatment group were lower than control from parturition, but this is considered to be related to the larger litter size in this group.

The only effect at a dose level of 1000 ppm was a decrease in kidney weight in adults and pups.

The no observed adverse effect level (NOAEL) for effects on reproduction and development was 20000 ppm, the highest dose used in this study.